

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/054,864	04/03/1998	CRAIG R. FRINK	AO521/7145(P	
<sup>26643</sup> PETER J. GOI	7590 07/02/2007 RDON, PATENT COUNSEL		EXAMINER	
	IOLOGY, INC.		SHANG, ANNAN Q	
TEWKSBURY		•	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
		•	2623	•
	•			
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			07/02/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary		Applicat	Application No. Applicant(s)					
		09/054,8	364	FRINK ET AL.				
		Examine	r	Art Unit				
		Annan Q		2623				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this commun	nication appears on th	e cover sheet wit	th the correspondence a	ddress			
A SHI WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failu Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR THE NEW PERIOD FOR THE	MAILING DATE OF T s of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no e munication. tatutory period will apply and v y will, by statute, cause the ap	HIS COMMUNIC vent, however, may a re will expire SIX (6) MON <sup>*</sup> uplication to become AB	CATION.  sply be timely filed  THS from the mailing date of this ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	ù L			
Status				•				
1)🖂	Responsive to communication(s) file	ed on 03 April 2007.						
<i>,</i> —	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) This action is non-final.							
'=	,							
,	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Dispositi	on of Claims							
4)🖂	Claim(s) 5 and 19-54 is/are pending	g in the application.						
-	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.								
·	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>5 and 19-54</u> is/are rejected.							
7)[	7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.								
Applicati	on Papers							
9)[	The specification is objected to by the	ne Examiner.						
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.								
	Applicant may not request that any obje	ection to the drawing(s)	be held in abeyan	ce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).								
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.								
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119	•						
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> </ul>								
<ul> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage</li> </ul>								
	application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).							
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.								
Attachmen	t(c)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)								
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Paper No(s)/Mail Date								
	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) er No(s)/Mail Date	)	5)  Notice of Ir					
S Patent and Trademark Office								

### **DETAILED ACTION**

### Response to Arguments

1. Applicant's arguments with respect to claim, 5 and 19-54 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

With respect to the rejections of the last office action, Applicant amends claims and argues that Aoki, "...does not teach using frame by frame flow control over high speed serial bus...," etc. (see page 9 of 13 of Applicant's Remarks).

In response, Examiner disagrees. Examiner notes Applicant argues, however, Aoki discloses in col.6, lines 15-20, that "The LINK 52 reads out image data from the FIFO memory 61 on a frame-by-frame basis, packetizes the read-out image data, and output the resulting packets to the PHY 51. The PHY 51 transmits those packets via the 1394 bus 11 as isochronous packets, whereby the packets are supplied to the editor." Applicant's disclosure further states that, "When flow control is on a frame-by-frame basis, the transfer may be either of the continuous form of the isochronous form." (see page 18, line 16-17). Hence, Aoki disclosure meets the amended claim limitations, i.e., a host device using frame-by-frame flow control for transferring data to a video processing device over a high speed serial bus.

In response to Applicant's argues as to request/response arguments, Examiner notes Applicant's arguments, however, Examiner maintains Aoki's editor 1, issues a "play" command to the conversion device 2 for reading out video data from the HDD 4 (Col. 7, lines 42-45), and device 2, in response to the "play" command, i.e., a request for video data, transfers the requested video data defining a video frame (image data

blocks) by packetizing the requested video data defining a video frame (image data blocks) over the high-speed bus with packets including video data defining the requested video frame (image data blocks), (see Col. 6, lines 10-20), which meets the "...request/response..." claim limitations.

With respect to claim 44 and amended claim 43, although Aoki inherently teaches the claim limitations, in that IEEE-1394 standard teaches that an arbitration sequences occurs between two nodes for any transactions, i.e., a transaction request or a transaction response. In this instant, the source node is the request node to the destination node in which the destination node receives the transaction request from the source/request node and responds to the source/request node at some time later. The transaction request includes a packet rate field, as previously addressed. Furthermore, Examiner previously cited, IEEE-1394 Draft 8.0v2, July 7, 1995 (page 143-206, specifically page 189) to support this teaching. These request are sent to indicate that the recipient is capable of receiving video data. Furthermore the requested video data is received and played back in real-time. Hence meets the claim limitations. The amended claims, do not overcome the prior art of record. The amendment to the claims necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection discussed below. This office action is made final.

Art Unit: 2623

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35
 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

3. Claims 5-18, 21,23-24, 27, 29-30, 33, 35-36, 39, 41 and 43-44 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by **Aoki et al (6,279,061).** 

Claims 5 and 43, Aoki disclose a host device (device 2) for transferring data to a video processing device (device 1; editor PC) over a high-speed serial bus using frame by frame (Fig. 1; Col. 2, lines 20-40; Col. 5, lines 38-45) control comprising:

A memory (53, 61,4);

An input 51 for receiving request packets from the video processing device (device 1; editor PC) over the high-speed serial bus 11, wherein each request packet indicates a request from the video processing device (device 1; editor PC; see IEEE-1394 standard in which each request/data packet of Fig. 2 includes a SID) to transfer video data defining a video frame (image data blocks; Col. 2, lines 45-60; Col. 6, lines 10-20 and Co1.7, lines 15-23), and wherein each request packet includes a stream identifier (Fig. 2 and 4; editing and playback in an MPEG digital system conforms to MPEG-2 encode data packet with MPEG transport packet PIDs and data field includes

Art Unit: 2623

the video data); and

An output for sending 51, in response to a request packet, a plurality of data packets including the video data defining the requested video frame from the memory (53, 61,4) to the video processing device (device 1; editor PC) over the high speed serial bus (Col. 7, lines 40-65), wherein each data packet includes the stream identifier.

Claims 21, Aoki further discloses wherein at least one of the data packets in the plurality of data packets includes a target field indicating a device to which the video processing device is directed to transfer the video data (see Fig. 2, el. Destination\_ID).

Claim 23, Aoki further discloses wherein the host device further sends through the output, a data packet including command field indicating a command to the video processing device (CTS of Asynchronous packet; Fig. 2 and 4).

Claim 24, Aoki disclose a video processing device (device 1; editor PC) for transferring data from a host device (device 2) over a high-speed serial bus using frame by frame (Fig. 1; Col. 2, lines 20-40; Col. 5, lines 38-45) control comprising: A memory (53, 61,4);

An output (not shown, from the editor PC device 1; see IEEE-1394 standard in which each request/data packet of Fig. 2 includes a SID) for sending request packets over the high-speed serial bus 11 to request to transfer of video data (Col. 2, lines 45-60; and Co1.7, lines 15-23), and wherein each request packet includes a stream identifier (Fig. 2 and 4; editing and playback in an MPEG digital system conforms to MPEG-2 encode data packet with MPEG transport packet PIDs); and

An input (not shown, editor PC device 1) for receiving a plurality of data packets

Art Unit: 2623

from the host device (device 2) over the high speed serial bus, in response to each request packet (Col. 7, lines 40-65), wherein each data packet includes the video data defining the video frame (image data blocks; Col. 6, lines 10-20) requested by the request packet, and for transferring the video data to the memory (reads on the PC1 's receives the requested and buffered in the PC1 for editing purpose).

Claim 27, Aoki further discloses wherein at least one of the data packets in the plurality of data packets includes a target field indicating a deviCe to which the video processing device is directed to transfer the video data (see Fig. 2, el. Destination\_ID).

Claim 29, Aoki further discloses wherein the input 91 further receives a data packet including command field indicating a command to the video processing device (CTS of Asynchronous packet; Fig. 2 and 4).

Regarding method claim 30 is analyzed with respect to apparatus claim 24.

Regarding method claim 33 is analyzed with respect to apparatus claim 27.

Regarding method claim 35 is analyzed with respect to apparatus claim 29.

Regarding method claim 36 is analyzed with respect to apparatus claim 5.

Regarding method claim 39 is analyzed with respect to apparatus claim 21.

Regarding method claim 41 is analyzed with respect to apparatus claim 23.

Regarding claim 44, "wherein the request packets includes a packet rate field that specifies a packet rate at which the host device is to send data to the video processing" is further inherently met by Aoki in which Aoki discloses the use of IEEE-1394 standard. Accordingly, IEEE-1394 standard inherently teaches that an arbitration • sequence occurs when a node is ready to transmit a packet of information to a

Art Unit: 2623

destination node. The source node requests its physical layer to gain control of the bus. When bus control has been obtained for an asynchronous subaction, the source node sends the following packet information: a data prefix that may contain speed information; the source and destination address; a transaction code; a transaction label; a retry code; a data quadlet or data block; a header CRC character; a data block CRC character, if applicable; and a packet termination code.

Claim 49 is analyzed with respect to apparatus claim 5.

Claims 50 and 51 are analyzed with respect to apparatus claim 24.

Claim 52 is analyzed with respect to apparatus claim 5.

Claim 54 is analyzed with respect to apparatus claim 5.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claims 19-20, 25-26, 31-32, 37-38, and 45-48 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over **Aoki et al. (US 6279061)** in view of **Paik et al. (US 5241382)**.

Claims 19, 45 and 47, **Aoki** discloses video data is packed into bytes into the plurality of packets because the length of the source packet of the 1394 AV/C protocol is a fixed length specific to each equipment in which each byte is defined as 8 bits, 16

bits or 32 bits, and the source packet is divided into plurality of data blocks, i.e., 1, 2, 4, or 8 data blocks, which are sequentially transmitted as a plurality of isochronous packets.

Aoki does not clearly disclose, "wherein a component of the video data has a precision greater than a byte";

Paik discloses components (Fig. 1), as macroblock, or superblock, or block, wherein each superblock 106 comprises an image area that covers four luminance blocks 108 in the horizontal direction and two luminance block 108 in the vertical direction and each luminance blocks 108 comprise pixels (Col. 7, lines 25-31)in which block 108 has a precision greater than a byte (a component, i.e., block 108, is a portion of the data being transferred and has a precision greater than a byte because component block 108 is 64 bytes and is greater than a byte! Col. 7, lines 15-35) and wherein the data representing the component of the video data is packed into bytes in the plurality of packets (Col. 8, lines 48-51). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Aoki to encode video data, as taught by Paik, so to provide a data format that includes various data fields that enable the receiver to avoid unnecessary processing (Col. 3, lines 49-65+).

Claims 20, 46 and 48, Paik further discloses further discloses wherein the plurality of packets includes a component size field indicating a number of bits per component (DLEN, Col. 5, lines 27-28).

Claim 25, Aoki discloses video data is packed into bytes into the plurality of packets because the length of the source packet of the 1394 AV/C protocol is a fixed length specific to each equipment in which each byte is defined as 8 bits, 16 bits or 32 bits, and the source packet is divided into plurality of data blocks, i.e., 1,2, 4, or 8 data blocks, which are sequentially transmitted as a plurality of isochronous packets.

Aoki does not clearly disclose, "wherein a component of the video data has a precision greater than a byte";

Paik discloses components (Fig. 1), as macroblock, or superblock, or block, wherein each superblock 106 comprises an image area that covers four luminance blocks 108 in the horizontal direction and two luminance block 108 in the vertical direction and each luminance blocks 108 comprise pixels (Col. 7, lines 25-31) in which block 108 has a precision greater than a byte (a component, i.e., block 108, is a portion of the data being transferred and has a precision greater than a byte because component block 108 is 64 bytes and is greater than a byte! Col. 7, lines 15-35) and wherein the data representing the component of the video data is packed into bytes in the plurality of packets (Col. 8, lines 48-51). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Aoki to encode video data, as taught by Paik, so to provide a data format that includes various data fields that enable the receiver to avoid unnecessary processing (Col. 3, lines 49-65+).

Claim 26, Paik further discloses further discloses wherein the plurality of packets includes a component size field indicating a number of bits per component (DLEN, Col.

5, lines 27-28).

Regarding method claim 31 is analyzed with respect to apparatus claim 25.

Regarding method claim 32 is analyzed with respect to apparatus claim 26.

Regarding method claim 37 is analyzed with respect to apparatus claim 19.

Regarding method claim 38 is analyzed with respect to apparatus claim 20.

6. Claims 22, 28, 34, 40 and 42 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over **Aoki et al. (US 6279061)** in view of **Kurtze et al. (US 6105083)**.

Claim 22, Aoki does not clearly disclose data packet includes a boundary signal indicating whether the data packet ends with a last component of the requested video frame;

Aoki does not clearly disclose data packet includes a boundary signal indicating whether the data packet ends with a last component of the requested video frame.

Kurtze discloses data packet includes a boundary signal indicating whether the data packet ends with a last component of the requested video frame (Col. 7, lines 28-55).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Aoki with the teaching of Kurtze so to allow each processing element to have a small number of storage location for storing data, such as a pair of registers, which eliminates the need for large buffers and simplifies implementation of the processing element with such flow control as a simple integration circuit, as suggested by Kurtze (Col.2, lines 25-30).

Claim 28, Aoki does not clearly disclose, "wherein a data packet in the plurality of data packets includes a boundary signal indicating whether the data packet includes a last component of the video data defining the requested video frame".

Kurtze discloses data packet includes a boundary signal indicating whether the data packet ends with a last component of the requested video frame (Col. 7, lines 28-55).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Aoki with the teaching of Kurtze so to allow each processing element to have a small number of storage location for storing data, such as a pair of registers, which eliminates the need for large buffers and simplifies implementation of the processing element with such flow control as a simple integration circuit, as suggested by Kurtze (Col.2, lines 25-30).

Claim 34 is analyzed with respect to apparatus claim 28. Claim 40 is analyzed with respect to apparatus claim 22.

Claim 42, in view of the above analysis of claim 5, Aoki does not clearly disclose data packet includes a boundary signal indicating whether the data packet ends with a last component of the requested video frame;

Kurtze discloses data packet includes a boundary signal indicating whether the data packet ends with a last component of the requested video frame (Col. 7, lines 28-55).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Aoki with the teaching of Kurtze so to allow each processing element to have a small number of storage location for storing data, such as a pair of registers, which eliminates the need for large buffers and simplifies

implementation of the processing element with such flow control as a simple integration circuit, as suggested by Kurtze (Co1.2, lines 25-30).

Claim 53 is analyzed with respect to apparatus claim 42.

#### Conclusion

7. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to **Annan Q. Shang** whose telephone number is **571-272-7355**. The examiner can normally be reached on **700am-400pm**.

Application/Control Number: 09/054,864 Page 13

Art Unit: 2623

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, **Christopher S. Kelley** can be reached on **571-272-7331**. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is **571-273-8300**.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the **Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free)**. If you would like assistance from a **USPTO Customer Service Representative or access** to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Annan Q. Shang